organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

3,9-Diisopropyl-2,4,8,10-tetrathiaspiro[5.5]undecane

Şerban Andrei Gâz,^a Ioana Dobra,^a Adrian Woiczechowski-Pop,^a Richard A. Varga^b and Ion Grosu^a*

^aOrganic Chemistry Department, CCSOOM, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, 'Babes-Bolyai' University, Arany Janos Street 11, RO-400028 Cluj Napoca, Romania, and ^bFaculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, 'Babes-Bolyai' University, Arany Janos Street 11, RO-400028 Cluj Napoca, Romania Correspondence e-mail: igrosu@chem.ubbcluj.ro

Received 27 August 2010; accepted 17 September 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 297 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.006 Å; R factor = 0.068; wR factor = 0.153; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.9.

The molecule of the title compound, $C_{13}H_{24}S_4$, has C2 symmetry and it crystallizes as a racemate. The structure displays two six-membered rings exhibiting chair conformations, with the isopropyl substituents in equatorial positions. In the crystal structure, weak intermolecular $C-H \cdots S$ interactions are observed, leading to a channel-like arrangement along the c axis.

Related literature

For background to the chemistry of spirans, see: Cismas et al. (2005); Eliel & Wilen (1994); Grosu et al. (1995, 1997); Terec et al. (2001, 2004). For other studies regarding the synthesis and stereochemistry of spiranes bearing 1,3-dithiane units, see: Backer & Evenhuis (1937); Gâz et al. (2008); Mitkin et al. (2001). For the crystal structure of a spiran beaing 1,3-dithiane unit atoms, see: Zhou et al. (2001).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $C_{13}H_{24}S_4$ $M_r = 308.56$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 16.701 (5) Åb = 10.241 (3) Å c = 12.063 (3) Å $\beta = 128.418 \ (4)^{\circ}$

V = 1616.5 (8) Å	3
Z = 4	
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	
$\mu = 0.57 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	
T = 297 K	
$0.32 \times 0.31 \times 0.2$	28 mm

Data collection

7606 measured reflections
1432 independent reflections
1311 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.035$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.068$	80 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.153$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.27	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1432 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-H$ $H\cdots A$		$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$	
$C7-H7C\cdots S1^{i}$	0.96	2.93	3.827 (6)	156 (1)	
Symmetry code: (i) x	$z - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$.			

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

This work was supported by CNCSIS-UEFISCSU, project number PNII-IDEI515/2007. We also thank the National Centre for X-Ray Diffraction, Cluj-Napoca, for support with the solid-state structure determination.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JH2201).

References

- Backer, H. J. & Evenhuis, N. (1937). Recl Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. 56, 681-690. Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2004). DIAMOND. University of Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2000). SADABS and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Bruker (2001). SAINT-Plus. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cismaş, C., Terec, A., Mager, S. & Grosu, I. (2005). Curr. Org. Chem. 9, 1287-1314
- Eliel, E. L. & Wilen, S. H. (1994). Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Gâz, Ş. A., Condamine, E., Bogdan, N., Terec, A., Bogdan, E., Ramondenc, Y. & Grosu, I. (2008). Tetrahedron, 64, 3-12.
- Grosu, I., Mager, S. & Plé, G. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1351-1357.
- Grosu, I., Plé, G., Mager, S., Martinez, R., Mesaroş, C. & Camacho, B. del C. (1997). Tetrahedron, 53, 6215-6232.
- Mitkin, O. D., Wan, Y., Kurchan, A. N. & Kutateladze, A. G. (2001). Synthesis, pp. 1133-1142.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Terec, A., Grosu, I., Condamine, E., Breau, L., Plé, G., Ramondenc, Y., Rochon, F. D., Peulon-Agasse, V. & Opriş, D. (2004). Tetrahedron, 60, 3173-3189
- Terec, A., Grosu, I., Muntean, L., Toupet, L., Plé, G., Socaci, C. & Mager, S. (2001). Tetrahedron, 57, 8751-8758.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zhou, Z.-R., Xu, W., Xia, Y., Wang, Q.-R., Ding, Z.-B., Chen, M.-Q., Hua, Z.-Y. & Tao, F.-G. (2001). Acta Cryst. C57, 471-472.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o2618 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810037281]

3,9-Diisopropyl-2,4,8,10-tetrathiaspiro[5.5]undecane

S.A. Gâz, I. Dobra, A. Woiczechowski-Pop, R. A. Varga and I. Grosu

Comment

Despite the rich literature dealing with spiro compounds (Cismaş *et al.*, 2005; Eliel & Wilen, 1994; Grosu *et al.*, 1995, 1997; Terec *et al.*, 2001, 2004) new papers were written recently especially including spiro derivatives having sulfur or selenium heteroatoms. Only few spirans bearing 1,3 dithiane units were reported (Backer & Evenhuis, 1937; Gâz *et al.*, 2008; Mitkin *et al.*, 2001) and only 2 crystals were obtained so far (Zhou *et al.*, 2001). The title compound (Fig. 1) exhibits a *C*2 symmetry unit with chair conformation for both six-membered rings.

Due to the space arrangement there are differences between positions 2, 4 and 2', 4'. Due to these differencies positions 4 and 4' which are oriented towards the other 1,3-dithiane ring are named methylene inside, while the other two CH_2 groups (positions 2 and 2') are oriented in opposite direction and they are named methylene outside groups.

In the crystal packing (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3) the sulfur atom from a neighbour molecule is hydrogen-bonded (weak interactions) *via* a intermolecular C7—H7c …S1 connection (Table 1).

These weak interactions stabilize the lattice and form a three-dimensional network as a channel-like arrangement along the c axis.

Experimental

The synthesis of I has been described elsewhere (Gâz *et al.*, 2008). Crystal were obtained from dichloromethane, by slow evaporation at room temperature.

Refinement

All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions using a riding model, with C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å and with $U_{iso} = 1.5U_{eq}$ (C) for H. The methyl groups were allowed to rotate but not to tip.

Figures



Fig. 1. *ORTEP* digram of the title compound, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Fig. 2. A view of the molecular structure exhibiting the hydrogen bonding interactions.



Fig. 3. The crystal packing viewed along c axis, exhibiting channel-like arrangement formed most probably by weak interaction between the methyl group H atoms and the sulfur atom from a neighbour molecule.

3,9-Diisopropyl-2,4,8,10-tetrathiaspiro[5.5]undecane

Crystal	data
---------	------

$C_{13}H_{24}S_4$	F(000) = 664
$M_r = 308.56$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.268 {\rm ~Mg~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Melting point = 416–418 K
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 16.701 (5) Å	Cell parameters from 3441 reflections
b = 10.241 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.5 - 28.1^{\circ}$
c = 12.063 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.57 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 128.418 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 297 K
V = 1616.5 (8) Å ³	Block, colourless
Z = 4	$0.32 \times 0.31 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer	1432 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1311 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.035$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.5^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2000)	$h = -19 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{\min} = 0.839, T_{\max} = 0.857$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
7606 measured reflections	$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.068$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.153$	H-atom parameters constrained
<i>S</i> = 1.27	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0592P)^2 + 2.605P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1432 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
80 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.068$ $wR(F^2) = 0.153$ S = 1.27 1432 reflections 80 parameters 0 restraints	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0592P)^2 + 2.605P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.36$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.28$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

C4

C5

C6

C7

0.040(2)

0.055 (2)

0.139 (5)

0.062 (3)

0.055 (2)

0.061 (2)

0.112 (5)

0.102 (4)

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	У			Z		Uiso*	U_{eq}	
C1	0.5000	0.	.7036 (5)		1.2500		0.043	9 (11)	
C2	0.4969 (3)	0.	.6137 (4)		1.1462 (4	ł)	0.0596 (11)		
H2A	0.4357	0.	.5606		1.0981		0.071	*	
H2B	0.5551	0.	.5551		1.2001		0.071	*	
C3	0.3816 (3)	0.	.7866 (4)		0.9229 (4	ł)	0.048	0.0486 (9)	
Н3	0.3245	0.	.7260		0.8842		0.058	*	
C4	0.4026 (3)	0.	.7860 (4)		1.1697 (4	ł)	0.048	4 (9)	
H4A	0.4024	0.	.8353		1.2382		0.058	*	
H4B	0.3444	0.	.7273		1.1218		0.058	*	
C5	0.3655 (3)	0.	.8601 (4)		0.7999 (4	ł)	0.058	5 (11)	
Н5	0.4243	0.	.9179		0.8388		0.070	*	
C6	0.3597 (5)	0.	.7656 (6)		0.6974 (5	5)	0.103	(2)	
H6A	0.2997	0.	.7123		0.6529		0.154	*	
H6B	0.4193	0.	.7110		0.7483		0.154	*	
H6C	0.3564	0.	.8140		0.6266		0.154*		
C7	0.2696 (4)	0.	.9437 (6)		0.7207 (5	5)	0.084	6 (16)	
H7A	0.2571	0.	.9797		0.6377		0.127	*	
H7B	0.2786	1.	.0132		0.7809		0.127	*	
H7C	0.2124	0.	.8908		0.6934		0.127	*	
S1	0.49848 (9)	0.	.69295 (11)		1.01407	(11)	0.062	7 (4)	
S2	0.38431 (7)	0.	.89852 (9)		1.04130	(10)	0.052	9 (4)	
Atomic displacem	ent parameters (.	(A^2)							
	U^{11}	U^{22}		U ³³		U^{12}		U^{13}	
C1	0.050 (3)	0.036 (3	3)	0.041 (3)	1	0.000		0.026 (2)	
C2	0.076 (3)	0.050 (2	2)	0.049 (2)	1	0.016 (2)		0.037 (2)	
C3	0.047 (2)	0.048 (2	2)	0.044 (2)	1	-0.0022 (16)	0.0251 (18)	

0.046(2)

0.050(2)

0.061 (3)

0.068 (3)

-0.0010(16)

-0.0053 (19)

0.014 (4)

0.014 (3)

U²³ 0.000 0.0054 (18) 0.0052 (16)

-0.0052(17)

0.0109 (19)

0.018(3)

0.039(3)

0.0251 (17)

0.028 (2)

0.064 (4)

0.029(2)

supplementary materials

S1 S2	0.0744 (8) 0.0497 (6)	0.0695 (7) 0.0444 (6)	0.0527 (6) 0.0520 (6)	0.0285 (6) 0.0097 (4)	0.0437 (6) 0.0254 (5)	0.0142 (5) 0.0033 (4)
Geometric parar	neters (Å, °)					
$C1$ $C4^{i}$		1 529 (4)	C4	ΗΔΔ	0.9	700
C1 - C4		1.529 (4)	C4 1		0.9	700
C1 - C2		1.529 (4)	C4—_	∩ 4 D	0.9	20 (6)
C1 - C2		1.529(5)	C5	C6	1.5	20(0)
$C1 = C2^{-1}$		1.329 (3)	C5—		1.3	24(7)
C2—S1		1.803 (4)	C5—1		0.9	800
С2—П2А		0.9700	C6—1		0.9	500
C_2 — H_2B		1.521.(5)	C6		0.9	500
$C_3 = C_3$		1.331 (3)	C0—1	H7A	0.9	500
C_{3}		1.809 (4)	C7—1	H7R	0.9	500
C3—H3		0.9800	C7—1	H7C	0.9	500
C4—S2		1.798 (4)			0.9	
C4 ⁱ —C1—C4		113.0 (4)	S2—0	С4—Н4В	108	.2
$C4^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$		109.4 (2)	H4A-		107	.4
C4—C1—C2		109.4 (2)	С7—	С5—С6	109	.7 (4)
C4 ⁱ —C1—C2 ⁱ		109.4 (2)	С7—4	С5—С3	111	.6 (4)
C4—C1—C2 ⁱ		109.4 (2)	C6—4	С5—С3	111	.0 (4)
C2—C1—C2 ⁱ		106.0 (4)	С7—	С5—Н5	108	.2
C1—C2—S1		116.2 (3)	C6—	С5—Н5	108	.2
C1—C2—H2A		108.2	C3—	С5—Н5	108	.2
S1—C2—H2A		108.2	C5—	С6—Н6А	109	.5
C1—C2—H2B		108.2	C5—	С6—Н6В	109	.5
S1—C2—H2B		108.2	H6A-	—С6—Н6В	109	.5
H2A—C2—H2B		107.4	C5—	С6—Н6С	109	.5
C5—C3—S1		108.9 (3)	H6A-	—С6—Н6С	109	.5
C5—C3—S2		110.9 (3)	H6B-	—С6—Н6С	109	.5
S1—C3—S2		111.59 (19)	C5—	С7—Н7А	109	.5
С5—С3—Н3		108.5	C5—	С7—Н7В	109	.5
S1—C3—H3		108.5	H7A-	—С7—Н7В	109	.5
S2—C3—H3		108.5	C5—	С7—Н7С	109	.5
C1—C4—S2		116.3 (2)	H7A-	—С7—Н7С	109	.5
C1—C4—H4A		108.2	H7B-	—С7—Н7С	109	.5
S2—C4—H4A		108.2	C2—	S1—C3	99.	99 (18)
C1—C4—H4B		108.2	C4—	S2—C3	100	.49 (17)
C4 ⁱ —C1—C2—S	1	-59.6 (4)	S1—0	C3—C5—C6	58.	7 (4)
C4—C1—C2—S	1	64.8 (4)	S2—0	C3—C5—C6	-17	(8.1 (3)
$C2^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$ — S	1	-177.4 (4)	C1—	C2—S1—C3	-61	.4 (3)
C4 ⁱ —C1—C4—S	2	58.00 (19)	C5—	C3—S1—C2	-17	78.1 (3)
C2-C1-C4-S2	2	-64.2 (4)	S2—0	C3—S1—C2	59.	1 (2)
C2 ⁱ —C1—C4—S	2	-179.8 (2)	C1—4	C4—S2—C3	60	4 (3)
S1—C3—C5—C	7	-178.6 (3)	C5—	C3—S2—C4	179	.5 (3)

supplementary materials

S2—C3—C5—C7 Symmetry codes: (i) - <i>x</i> +1, <i>y</i> , - <i>z</i> +5/2.	-55.5 (4)	S1—C3—S2—C4	-	58.9 (2)
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)				
D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C7—H7C···S1 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.93	3.827 (6)	156 (1)
Symmetry codes: (ii) $x-1/2$, $-y+3/2$, $z-1$	/2.			







